

REMARKS

This communication is responsive to the Office Action of June 27, 2008 in which the following objections were raised: [1] Claims 1, 7-8 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Long et al. (USP 7,177,350); [2] Claims 2-6, 9-10, 20 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if rewritten into independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims; [2] Claims 11-18 were allowed.

Applicant has canceled Claims 1, 7-8 and 19 and amended Claims 2-6, 9-10, 20.

1 CLAIMS 1, 7-8, 19 REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

Claims 1, 7-8 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Long et al. (USP 7,177,350).

The Applicant has canceled all Claims rejected under this section without prejudice to their further prosecution in a continuation or such other ongoing prosecution as to which the Applicant may be entitled and in the interests of an early allowance of the Claims indicated to contain allowable subject matter.

2 CLAIMS 2-6, 9-10, 20 OBJECTED TO

Claims 2-6, 9-10, 20 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if rewritten into independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter in the aforementioned Claims. Applicant has amended all objected to Claims into Independent form including all of the limitations of the base and any intervening Claims. Examiner will note that in the amendments, the phrase "*... normal data transmission mode mode...*" found in the preambles of rejected Claims 1 and 19 has been amended to avoid the repetition of the word 'mode' in correspondence with the specification. "*The present invention also provides ...allocations for a normal data transmission mode in a low power mode for...*" (Applicant's specification at Para 12). "*Figure 3 illustrates...allocations for the normal data transmission*

App. No. 10/714,354
Amendment dated September 27, 2008
Reply to the Office Action of June 27, 2008

Attn. Docket No. VELCP07334

mode (e.g. L0 mode) in a lower power mode (e.g. L2 mode) for use... (Applicant's specification at Para 29).

The Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the objection under this section.

2 CLAIMS 11-18 ALLOWED

Claims 11-18 were allowed.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's allowance of Claims 11-18.

App. No. 10/714,354
Amendment dated September 27, 2008
Reply to the Office Action of June 27, 2008

Attn. Docket No. VELCP07334

CONCLUSION

In view of the above remarks, and the amendments to the Claims, Applicant respectfully submits that all remaining Claims 2-6, 9-18, 20 have been placed in a condition for allowance, and requests that they be allowed. Early notice to this effect is solicited.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, including petition fees and extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-1338 (Docket No. VLC07334US).

Respectfully submitted,
IP CREATORS

/ Charles C. Cary /

Charles C. Cary
Registration No. 36,764

Date: September 27, 2008

P.O. Box 2789
Cupertino, CA 95015
Tel: (408) 850-9585
Fax: (408) 850-9585
E-mail: cccary@ipcreators.com